***Foreign visitors should pay more than local visitors for cultural and historical attractions. To what extent do you agree or disagree with this opinion?***

It is sometimes argued that **tourists from overseas** should be charged more than local residents to visit important sites and monuments. I completely disagree with this idea.

The argument in favour of higher prices for foreign tourists would be that cultural or historical attractions often depend on state subsidies to keep them going, which means that the resident population already pays money to these sites through the tax system. However, I believe this to be a very shortsighted view. Foreign tourists contribute to the economy of the host country with the money they spend on a wide range of goods and services, including food, souvenirs, accommodation and travel. The governments and inhabitants of every country should be happy to subsidise important tourist sites and encourage people from the rest of the world to visit them.

If travellers realised that they would have to pay more to visit historical and cultural attractions in a particular nation, they would perhaps decide not to go to that country on holiday. To take the UK as an example, the tourism industry and many related jobs rely on visitors coming to the country to see places like Windsor Castle or Saint Paul’s Cathedral. These two sites charge the same price regardless of nationality, and this helps to promote the nation’s cultural heritage. If overseas tourists stopped coming due to higher prices, there would be a risk of insufficient funding for the maintenance of these important buildings.

In conclusion, I believe that every effort should be made to attract tourists from overseas, and it would be counterproductive to make them pay more than local residents.
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It is argued that tourists from overseas should pay more than domestic visitors for cultural and historical attractions. From my perspective, I strong disagree with this idea.

It is observed the root of this trend is many local visitors figure that they have already are chagred more foreign visitors through the taxation. As many historical and cultrual attractions are subsidized by the government budget, which is basically money of residents.However, I personally think this is a shortsight idea. Firstly, the cost of international transportation system is extremely high. Although many aviation industries has slashed their tickets due to the stiff competition, the price is still above average to all people of social classes. For example, the flight from VietNam to Singapore is up to 1200 dollars. Because of this reasons, many Vietnamese reasons can not come back to their country on their holidays. Secondly, if foreign visitors found out that they are charged more than domestic visitors, they perhaps would decide not to come back again. Many well-known contructions rely on the money coming from travelling activities and selling items, without them, they can not pay for maintainance fee. Furthermore, if overseas tourists feel comfortale and enjoyable without worry about budget issues, they will tell their friends and their family to come and visit. Lastly, tourists from overeas usually take a longer trip to their destination. For example, the flight from USA and China can take about 15 hours , which is certainly frustrated from them. Therefore, the host country should welcome, not forceing them to pay extra fee.

In conclusion, there a wide range of reasons why the cost for visting historical attractions and cultural attractions should remain equal.